

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/681,088	10/07/2003	Ikuo Someya	03611/LH	6236	
1933 7	7590 12/08/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			WATKO, JU	WATKO, JULIE ANNE	
767 THIRD A' 25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			2653		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/681	10/681,088 SOMEYA ET AL.					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Julie A	nne Watko	2653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSION OF	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. statutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	ICATION.  Treply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this capanananananananananananananananananana	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · ·	Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/07/2003</u> i	is/are: a)⊠ accept	ed or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin				• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		n received in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	` ''					
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the ce	ertified copies no	t received.				
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Λ\	Summan (DTO 440)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/07/2003</u> .	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTC	<b>)-152)</b>			

Application/Control Number: 10/681,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

2. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome any rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

## **Specification**

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the allowable claims are directed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klassen et al (US Pat. No. 6608736 B1).

As recited in claim 1, Klassen et al show a disc drive (see Fig. 1) suspension (see Fig. 2) comprising: a load beam 12; a head section 17 which writes and reads data; and a wiring portion (see Fig. 6) connected electrically to the head section, the wiring portion having first E and second F write conductors paired with each other, first C and second D read conductors paired

Application/Control Number: 10/681,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

with each other, and an insulating layer G for electrically insulating the first and second write conductors from the first and second read conductors, at least some (including C and any other conductor) of the conductors being arranged at different height levels in the thickness direction of the insulating layer so that the distance from the first write conductor E to the first read conductor C, cross section extending in the width direction of the wiring portion, corresponds to the distance from the first write conductor E to the second read conductor D and that the distance from the second write conductor F to the first read conductor C corresponds to the distance from the second write conductor F to the second read conductor D.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klassen et al (US Pat. No. 6608736 B1).

Klassen et al show a disc drive as described above.

As recited in claim 2, Klassen et al show that the first and second write conductors and the first and second read conductors are arranged along the insulating layer.

As recited in claim 2, Klassen et al are silent regarding whether the insulating layer is formed on a wired flexure extending along the load beam.

Official notice is taken of the fact that it was known in the art at the time the invention was made to form an insulating layer on a wired flexure extending along a load beam.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the insulating layer on a wired flexure extending along the load beam. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to simplify formation of a load beam and to simplify assembly as is notoriously well known in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen et al (IEEE Trans. Mag. v. 30 no. 5 p. 2818 Sept. 1994) show stacked lead structures for write heads, and disclose "vertically stacked lead structures, used either exclusively, or in combination with the conventional side-by-side leads, can bring about a substantial reduction of the cross coupling" (see page 2820).

Application/Control Number: 10/681,088 Page 5

Art Unit: 2653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Anne Watko whose telephone number is (571) 272-7597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday until 4:45PM, and Friday until 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

December 5, 2005 JAW Julie Anne Watko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653